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Abstract—This paper presents the design and simulations of a dual-polarized indoor antenna to receive the signal provided by the traditional ISDB-T system, operating in Ultra-High Frequency range destined to the radio-frequency channels after analog switch-off. The design goals were towards low cost, simple construction and implementation computational for indoor applications and with good performance in terms return loss within the frequency band. The antenna was designed and optimized using a full wave electromagnetic solver, and the results indicated that the proposed antenna has a good performance, simple structure and is a suitable candidate to be employed in the current digital television standard as in the next-generation of digital broadcasting.

Index Terms—dual-polarized antenna, indoor antenna, integrated services digital broadcasting terrestrial (ISDB-T), MWS-CST Studio.

I. INTRODUCTION

With the establishment of the digital television system, improvements emerged compared to the analog television system, such as bringing users better quality picture, audio and video. This transition of the analog/digital system is already a reality in Brazil so that organs linked to the Brazilian government are performing the process of Analog Switch-Off (ASO). The ASO aims to release the electromagnetic spectrum in the Ultra-High Frequency (UHF) band to allow the expansion of mobile broadband. Due to this operation in adjacent bands, there is a possibility that the Long-Term Evolution (LTE) signal interferes Digital Terrestrial Television Broadcasting (DTTB).

As the LTE uplink and downlink operating range use the same channels used for television, 52 to 69, the saturation of the tuner or the image frequency can degrade the reception of DTTB signal [1]. This means an interruption in receiving programming, frozen images or black screen. In critical cases, to preserve the quality of DTTB signal reception is required a combination of several mitigation measures. The filter installation on LTE transmitters is intended to reduce interfering emissions as much as possible. Furthermore, the installation of filters on DTTB receivers aims to increase their protection against degradation [2]. However, the resources needed to minimize the impact on the viewer are not limited to the development and manufacture of filters, but also in new changes in the configuration of the antennas.

The new DTTB requires a high spectrum efficiency since Ultra High Definition Television (UHDTV) transmission is necessary and part of the spectrum are used to wireless broadband services [3].

When designed with dual polarization, such antennas represent an alternative which may allow the increase of the efficiency of the spectrum. There is an improvement of the robustness of the wireless link against the polarization differences between the transmitter and the receiver of the current communications systems. Furthermore, they may also bring the proposal to replace a conventional system of the type Multiple-Input Multiple-Output (MIMO) with geographically spaced antennas for antennas that have a diversity of polarization in the same physical space [4].

Dual-polarized antennas are antennas that have the characteristic of radiating dual orthogonal linear polarization from the same structure. Such polarizations are defined as horizontal polarization and vertical polarization. Each polarization is lagged at an angle of 90 degrees to another. Any dual polarization antenna is fed using two “ports”, so the resulting radiation pattern is horizontally polarized when applying a signal to one port and vertically polarized when applying a signal to other port [5].

Some DTTB transmission tests have already been performed using dual-polarized antennas, such as in Japan, where it was possible to transmit 8K-quality images between two experimental stations in the Hitoyoshi area in Kumamoto [6]. Moreover, during the Rio de Janeiro Olympics Games, the TV Globo in collaboration with NHK (Japan Broadcasting Corporation) provided the first public broadcast of 8K UHDTV images at the Museum of Tomorrow using, among various technologies for transmission, dual-polarized antennas [7].

The purpose of this paper is to present the design and simulations of a dual-polarized antenna model for indoor applications. The antenna operates in the radio-frequency range of DTTB after the ASO, as well as in the current TV system and the next-generation of digital broadcasting. This antenna can improve the quality of the signal received at any position in the environment besides being used as filter to reduce possible interferences provided by the LTE signal.

This paper is organized into six sections. Section II, presents the concepts of the fundamental parameters analyzed that characterize an antenna. Section III presents the development of antenna design with a single polarization. The Section IV shows the development and the Section V presents the results of dual-polarized antenna simulations. Moreover, Section VI draw the conclusions.

This work was supported in part by the Coordination for the Improvement of Higher Education Personnel (CAPES), National Research and Educational Network (RNP), National Counsel of Technological and Scientific Development (CNPq) and MackPesquisa.
II. FUNDAMENTAL PARAMETERS OF ANTENNAS

A. Definition of the term antenna

IEEE Standard Definitions of Terms for Antennas defines antenna as an element that radiates and receives radio waves. However, [8] describes an antenna as a transitional structure between the free space and the transmission line. In other words, an antenna is a device that converts electromagnetic energy guided by the transmission line into radiated electromagnetic energy in the free space.

B. Radiation pattern

The radiation pattern of an antenna is the graphical representations of its radiation and power properties as a function of the angle at a fixed distance [8]. The electric and magnetic fields are some types of diagrams. In the case of dual-polarized antennas, it is interesting to present an omnidirectional irradiation pattern, Fig. 1 [8], as reported [4].

![Omnidirectional Irradiation Pattern](image)

C. Return loss

Return loss represents the quantities of power reflected from the antenna. This parameter can be obtained using Equation 1, where $\Gamma(\omega)$ is the reflection coefficient [8].

$$S_{11} = 20 \log(|\Gamma(\omega)|) \quad (1)$$

D. Bandwidth

Bandwidth is the antenna operating range, where performance meets specific standards such as gain, directivity, impedance, among others [8]. The good performance of the antenna is within the frequency range close to the center frequency, which makes it necessary for the frequency variations of the upper and lower frequencies to be acceptable. The bandwidth is calculated using the Equation 2.

$$BW = f_{upper} - f_{lower} \quad (2)$$

E. Fractional bandwidth

The fractional bandwidth of an antenna is a measure of how wideband the antenna is. This parameter is calculated by Equation 3.

$$FBW = \frac{BW}{f_{central}} \quad (3)$$

F. Polarization

Per [9], the signal polarization can be defined regarding a signal transmitted or received by an antenna in one direction. The antenna polarization radiated a signal considered as the trajectory described by the electric field vector when viewed by an observer who sees the wave moving away from itself. The total electric field of this radiated wave is composed of orthogonal components that differ in amplitudes ($E_{x0}$ and $E_{y0}$) and phases ($\phi_x$ and $\phi_y$), Equations 4 and 5, where through the orientation it is possible to determine the type of polarization that the antenna radiates. The total electric field of this plane wave propagating in the z direction can be represented by Equation 6.

$$E_x(z,t) = E_{x0} \cdot \cos(\omega t + \beta z + \phi_x) \quad (4)$$

$$E_y(z,t) = E_{y0} \cdot \cos(\omega t + \beta z + \phi_y) \quad (5)$$

$$E(z,t) = E_x(z,t) + E_y(z,t) \quad (6)$$

In general, most antennas radiate either linear or circular polarization. A linear polarized antenna radiates wholly in one plane containing the direction of propagation. In a circular polarized antenna, the plane of polarization rotates in a circle making one complete revolution during one period of the wave. An antenna is said to be vertically polarized (linear) when its electric field is perpendicular to the Earth’s surface and horizontally polarized (linear) when their electric field is parallel to the face of the earth. A circular polarized wave radiates energy in both the horizontal and vertical planes and all planes in between. The difference, if any, between the maximum and the minimum peaks as the antenna, is rotated through all angles, is called the axial ratio and is usually specified in decibels (dB). If the axial ratio is near 0 dB, the antenna is said to be circular polarized. The polarization is linear if the axial ratio is greater than 3 dB [10].

III. DEVELOPMENT ANTENNA DESIGN WITH SINGLE-POLARIZATION

With the availability of software for modeling and simulation of antennas, it is possible to predict the resulting characteristics very close to the confection of a real antenna. The software chosen to perform all the simulations was the MWS-CST Studio version 2014 [11].

The simulations served to identify which model of antenna presented the bandwidth closest to the intended, to select the most viable for the final confection model. All metal structures are perfect electrical conductors (PEC), the ground plane is a thin sheet (thickness 0.035 mm), the feeding was performed with an impedance 50Ω and range of interest $S_{11} \leq -10$ dB.

A. Quarter-wavelength filament monopole structure

The project started from a monopole antenna consisting of a cylindrical filamentary radiating element supported by a ground plane. The calculation of the antenna height was made to meet the center frequency. This value is the averaging DTV
frequency range 470 MHz to 698 MHz. By calculating the average of this frequency range, the center frequency value was found to be 584 MHz, and it was possible to calculate the antenna height using Equation 7. Where \( \lambda_m \) is the average wavelength, and \( v \) is the speed of light.

\[
\lambda_m = \frac{v}{f_{\text{central}}}
\]  

(7)

The obtained value of the average wavelength was equal to 513.7 mm. A quarter-wavelength structure is the characteristic of the monopole antenna. The final metric dimension was assumed with a height of \( H = 130 \) mm and a ground plane with length 500 x 500 mm. After performing some simulations, it was observed limitations in bandwidth this structure, \( \text{BW} = 0.11781 \) GHz. A cylindrical monopole replaced this structure and verified its influence on bandwidth.

**B. Quarter-wavelength cylindrical monopole structure**

The filament antenna was superseded by a cylindrical antenna to increase bandwidth. Per [8], as the cylinder radius increases, the bandwidth also tends to grow. Simulations were performed, where the radius of the cylindrical antenna and the length of the ground plane were varied, and an increase of bandwidth was observed, resulting in \( \text{BW} = 0.14573 \) GHz, Fig. 2, using radius = 4 mm and the ground plane of 500 x 500 mm, Fig. 3.

It has been observed that at first, the cylindrical antenna cannot satisfactorily cover the entire band, as well as the filamentary form. Although it has excellent omnidirectional characteristics, what is desirable, new structures must be sought to meet both bandwidth and omnidirectionality.

**C. Planar monopole structure**

The planar monopole antenna is an antenna model that has been extensively studied in the literature. According to [12], this type of antenna can cover a bandwidth of the order of 1:18, also serve as a reference for antenna designs that operate in the S, X and C bands. In most cases, this structure is formed by a square radiating element supported by a ground plane and fed by an SMA connector. Fig. 4 [13], where W is the width and L is the length of the square radiating element, and the h is the distance between the ground plane and the radiating element, called gap.

With the results of the simulations, it was observed that the gap distance substantially influences the bandwidth and operating point of the center frequency. Thus, the value of the optimal gap is between the null value and 1 mm of distance. Larger gap distances strongly deviate the center frequency and the beginning of the resonant frequency. The results of the simulations indicated the value of gap = 1 mm, which is closer to 1 of the Smith Chart, that is, matched with the feed impedance of 50Ω. The W, L, and ground plane dimensions were also varied and analyzed through the Smith Chart, and the results of the simulations indicated \( W = 80 \) mm, \( L = 150 \) mm and ground plane 200 x 200 mm, Fig. 6, as the combination that resulted in a bandwidth close to the desired, Fig. 5.
D. Planar monopole structure with parasitic elements

Among the three structures designed and simulated, the planar monopole antenna was the structure that presented the best response, in the band criterion, and in the guarantee of omnidirectionality. Table I indicates that the planar monopole antenna still has a fractional band higher than the others simulated.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table I</th>
<th>PERFORMANCE COMPARISON OF PROJECTED STRUCTURES (FREQUENCIES IN GHz)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Structures</td>
<td>$f_{\text{lower}}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filament</td>
<td>0.492</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cylindrical</td>
<td>0.485</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planar</td>
<td>0.496</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

However, the planar monopole antenna in this configuration also cannot cover all the desired bandwidth. For this reason, it was necessary to redeem in the literature some techniques that could increase the bandwidth of an antenna. Among the most used are: resistive load, alteration in structure and addition of parasitic elements and elements of matching or structures of matching [14]. The technique chosen for this project was to the addition of parasitic elements in the structure.

It was first inserted into the planar monopole structure a single rectangular parasitic element and verified its influence on the bandwidth. Similarly, to the previous simulations, the metric dimensions of the parasite element, as well as its distance to the rectangular radiating element, were varied and analyzed by Smith Chart. After successive simulations, the results indicated that with a single parasitic element attached to the structure it was possible to increase the bandwidth around 0.023 GHz, leading to a total bandwidth of 0.181 GHz.

A second identical rectangular parasitic element, Fig. 7, was attached to the structure and through the simulations, an increase of band was verified compared to the structure with a single parasitic element. With the parasitic elements designed in the dimensions $W = 110 \text{ mm}$, $L = 100 \text{ mm}$ and its distance to the rectangular radiating element $= 55 \text{ mm}$, was possible to increase the bandwidth around 0.069 GHz, resulting in a total bandwidth of 0.250 GHz, Fig. 8. Fig. 9 shows the tridimensional radiation pattern this new structure.

Table II compares the performance of the simulated anterior structures with the planar monopole antenna with parasitic elements. Comparing the performances was verified that the planar monopole antenna with two parasitic elements exceeded in all the criteria previously discussed. The structure presented the highest bandwidth and percentage of a fractional band, besides to satisfying optimal values of lower, central and upper frequency. Given the reasons offered, this structure
proved to be the most feasible for the confection of the dual-polarized antenna that is presented in the Section IV.

### TABLE II

**PERFORMANCE COMPARISON OF THE PREVIOUS STRUCTURES SIMULATED WITH THE PLANAR MONPOLE ANTENNA WITH PARASITIC ELEMENTS (FREQUENCIES IN GHZ)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Structures</th>
<th>$f_{lower}$</th>
<th>$f_{central}$</th>
<th>$f_{upper}$</th>
<th>BW</th>
<th>FBW (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Filament</td>
<td>0.492</td>
<td>0.543</td>
<td>0.610</td>
<td>0.118</td>
<td>21.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cylindrical</td>
<td>0.485</td>
<td>0.549</td>
<td>0.631</td>
<td>0.146</td>
<td>26.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planar</td>
<td>0.496</td>
<td>0.564</td>
<td>0.649</td>
<td>0.153</td>
<td>27.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planar (single parasitic element)</td>
<td>0.511</td>
<td>0.588</td>
<td>0.693</td>
<td>0.181</td>
<td>30.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planar (two parasitic elements)</td>
<td>0.442</td>
<td>0.586</td>
<td>0.697</td>
<td>0.255</td>
<td>43.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### IV. DUAL-POLARIZED ANTENNA DESIGN

Based on the analysis of the single-polarized antenna in the previous section, it was verified that the planar monopole antenna with two parasite elements was the structure that presented the best results and for this reason was the structure chosen for the modeling of the dual-polarized antenna.

According [5] dual-polarized antennas are antennas that have the characteristic of radiating dual orthogonal linear polarization from the same structure, Fig. 10 [15], where each polarization is lagged at an angle of 90 degrees to another.

![Fig. 10. Orthogonal linear polarizations of a dual-polarized antenna.](image)

The planar monopole structure with two parasitic elements has a vertical radiating element supported by a ground plane, characterizing a vertical polarization. As a way of obtaining the horizontal polarization, a second planar monopole structure was attached chosen the structure. The main radiating element of the second structure was placed in an orthogonal position to the radiating element of the selected structure. Thus, when one of the ports is activated, and the other is matched with the feed impedance of 50Ω, one polarization is obtained. The other polarization, orthogonal to the first, occurs when the situation is the reverse: the second port is active, and the other port is matched. The basic design of proposed dual-polarized antenna is shown in Fig. 11.

![Fig. 11. Dual-polarized antenna proposed (a) view of the ports, (b) its geometric parameters.](image)

### V. SIMULATION AND RESULTS

The dimensions of the proposed antenna after optimization are follows: L planar 1 = 280 mm, L planar 2 = 170 mm, L parasitic element = 110 mm, W planar = 110 mm, Lgp 1 = 190 x 190 mm, Lgp 2 = 300 x 300 mm, H = 100 mm and the distances of the parasitic elements to the radiating element were maintained in 55 mm. Fig. 12 shows return loss curves of both port 1 ($S_{11}$) and port 2 ($S_{22}$). At the operating frequency, both ports have return loss values lower than -10 dB. The isolation between two ports is higher which varies between 11 to 20 dB in operating band. The results show that the proposed antenna obtains enough bandwidth at port 1, but the bandwidth at port 2 is inferior to port 1 to ensure the high isolation performance.
are several choices of the axis. From these, spherical and Ludwig 3 coordinates seem more relevant. To see polarization gains Ludwig 3 coordinate system is better [15]. Fig. 14 compares the Ludwig 3 [16] gain values in linear polarization. It was observed that the vertical gain value is higher than the horizontal gain value in port 1. Therefore, it can be concluded that this structure radiates a polarization in vertical mode. In port 2, the horizontal gain value is greater than the vertical gain value, so we can conclude that this antenna radiates a polarization in the horizontal mode.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Farfield</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Farfield</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Approximation</td>
<td>enshrined (ER &gt;&gt; 1)</td>
<td>Approximation</td>
<td>enshrined (ER &gt;&gt; 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitor</td>
<td>farfield (broadband) [1]</td>
<td>Monitor</td>
<td>farfield (broadband) [1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Component</td>
<td>Ludwig 3 Horizontal</td>
<td>Component</td>
<td>Ludwig 3 Vertical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output Gain</td>
<td>Output Gain</td>
<td>Output Gain</td>
<td>Output Gain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>0.584</td>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>0.584</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real. eff.</td>
<td>-0.056 dB</td>
<td>Real. eff.</td>
<td>-0.056 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total eff.</td>
<td>-0.067 dB</td>
<td>Total eff.</td>
<td>-0.067 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gain (Abs)</td>
<td>4.000 dB</td>
<td>Gain (Abs)</td>
<td>4.000 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gain (Vertical)</td>
<td>4.000 dB</td>
<td>Gain (Vertical)</td>
<td>4.000 dB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

When the direction is not stated, the polarization is taken to be the polarization in the direction of maximum gain. There are several choices of the axis. From these, spherical and Ludwig 3 coordinates seem more relevant. To see polarization gains Ludwig 3 coordinate system is better [15]. Fig. 14 compares the Ludwig 3 [16] gain values in linear polarization. It was observed that the vertical gain value is higher than the horizontal gain value in port 1. Therefore, it can be concluded that this structure radiates a polarization in vertical mode. In port 2, the horizontal gain value is greater than the vertical gain value, so we can conclude that this antenna radiates a polarization in the horizontal mode.

The horizontal and vertical polarization components can be matched to the co and cross-polarization. Co-polarization is the polarization that an antenna is desired to radiate and cross-polarization is the polarization orthogonal to co-polarization [15]. From Fig. 14, it can be understood that port 1 has low Ludwig 3 in horizontal gain and higher Ludwig 3 in vertical gain. Therefore, for this port, a horizontal gain is the cross-polarization gain, and vertical gain is the co-polarization gain. The opposite is seen on port 2, where Ludwig 3 horizontal is the co-polarization gain and the Ludwig 3 vertical is the cross-polarization gain. Fig. 15 presents the co and cross-polarization radiation patterns in E and H planes.
Table III shows the simulated values of half-power beam width (HPBW) in E and H planes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency (GHz)</th>
<th>E-Plane</th>
<th>H-Plane</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.538</td>
<td>67.8°</td>
<td>80.7°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.584</td>
<td>65.5°</td>
<td>86.5°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.592</td>
<td>65.2°</td>
<td>85.8°</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 16 shows the current distributions of the proposed antenna in the RMS value in the frequencies 0.538 GHz, 0.584 GHz and 0.592 GHz in the port 1 and port 2.

Table IV shows the results of the proposed dual-polarized antenna.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Port 1</th>
<th>Port 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( f_{center} ) (GHz)</td>
<td>0.538</td>
<td>0.592</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-Parameter (dB)</td>
<td>-29.77</td>
<td>-15.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axial Ratio (dB)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gain (dB)</td>
<td>4.776</td>
<td>4.076</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linear Polarization</td>
<td>Vertical</td>
<td>Horizontal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VI. CONCLUSION

In this work, we describe the design and simulations of a dual-polarized antenna for indoor applications. From the simulations developed, we verified that the planar monopole structure presented the best response both in the band criterion and omnidirectionally. Using the technique of addition of parasitic elements in the structure, it was possible to acquire the necessary bandwidth to cover the UHF band. Preliminary results of the simulations were favorable for the modeling of the dual-polarized antenna. A second planar monopole structure was orthogonally attached to the chosen structure, and the results were promising. Through the axial ratio curves, we proved that both structures presented linear polarizations. The Ludwig 3 gain values it was confirmed that the proposed antenna radiates two distinct polarizations. Through of the port 1, the vertical polarization was obtained and through the port 2 the horizontal polarization. Thus, the results indicate that the proposed antenna satisfy the requirements of bandwidth, HPBW, omnidirectionality, and polarization for being used in the current digital television standard as in the next-generation of digital broadcasting.
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